

**REMARKS**

**I. STATUS OF THE CLAIMS AND FORMAL MATTERS**

Claims 1-79 are pending in the application and are subject to a restriction and/or election requirement in the Office Action mailed on June 12, 2009. By this Amendment, claims 1, 3, 13, 15, 24, 26, 35, 37, 46, 47, 58, 60, and 77 are amended as indicated above. It is believed that no new subject matter is added as a result of the amendments to the claims.

**II. THE ELECTION/RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT**

The June 12, 2009 Office Action required an election of an invention under 35 U.S.C. § 121 from the following groups of inventions:

- Group I**      Claims 1-12, 69, 74-76, and 79 drawn to an RF control system classified in class 455, subclass 343.1;
- Group II**     Claims 13-23 and 70 drawn to an RF control system classified in class 455, subclass 343.1;
- Group III**    Claims 24-34 and 71 drawn to an RF control system classified in class 340, subclass 500;
- Group IV**     Claims 35-45 and 72 drawn to an RF control system classified in class 455, subclass 293;
- Group V**       Claims 46-57 and 73, drawn to an RF control system classified in class 455, subclass 343.1;
- Group VI**     Claims 58-68 drawn to a RF control system classified in class 455, subclass 226.1; and
- Group VII**    Claims 77-78 drawn to a control system classified in class 455, subclass 343.1.

Applicants note that claims 75-78, previously withdrawn, have been reintroduced to the prosecution of this application by operation of the Restriction Requirement mailed June 12, 2009.

In response to the request for restriction, Applicants elect for further prosecution in this application, the invention associated with **Group VII**, claims 77-78, drawn to a control system wherein the receiver is activated according to a series of wake-up events in such a way that, during the whole duration of a pre-synchronization cycle, the receiver is at least activated during two activation periods and at most two activation periods occur during one and a same preamble pulse. This election is made *without traverse* and is made without prejudice to the Applicants' right to file divisional applications directed to the non-elected subject matter.

In addition, unelected independent claims 1, 13, 24, 35, 46, and 58 have been amended to depend from claim 77. The unelected claims are adding additional limitations to claim 77 and should therefore be considered as being directed to the same invention as claim 77. Hence, it is requested that revised dependent claims 1, 13, 24, 35, 46, and 58, and the claims depending therefrom, be considered in this application along with claims 77-78.

An early examination on the merits of the claims of this application is respectfully solicited.

**CONCLUSION**

In view of the foregoing, it is believed that the present application is in condition for allowance. Accordingly, Applicants' attorneys respectfully request that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case.

Please charge any fees incurred by reason of this response and not paid herewith to Deposit Account No. 50-0320.

Respectfully submitted,  
FROMMERM LAWRENCE & HAUG LLP  
Attorneys for Applicants

By:

Ronald R. Santucci  
Reg. No. 28,988  
Frederick W. Dour  
Reg. No. 39,174  
Telephone: (212) 588-0800  
Facsimile: (212) 588-0500

